#### FORM 2

## **Technology and Construction Mediation Survey**





### A research project by

# The Technology and Construction Court and King's College, London

This survey is part of a research project by the Technology and Construction Court and the Centre of Construction Law and Management, King's College London. The goal of this survey is to gather information regarding the use of mediation in TCC disputes and the effectiveness or otherwise of court instigated ADR processes, in particular mediation. The analysis aims to:

- 1. reveal in what circumstances mediation is an efficacious alternative to litigation;
- 2. assist the court to determine whether, and at what stage, it should encourage mediation in future cases; and
- 3. identify which mediation techniques are particularly successful.

You should only disclose information that you and the parties are happy to disclose. It is fully understood that there may be good reasons why you may be unwilling to answer some of the questions.

Your details will be treated in the strictest confidence. Publication of the results of this questionnaire will be restricted to statistical data and analysis based upon the responses received.

Please insert <u>Claim Number</u> (clearly)			
	was the nature of the case ***(please tick all those that apply)?  Change to scope of work  Delay  Differing site conditions  Payment issues  Defects  Design issues  Other (please specify)  A dispute about adjudication  Arbitration claim  Professional negligence  Personal injury  Property damage  IT dispute		
<ul> <li>2. Were attempts made to resolve the litigation by ***(please tick all those that apply)?</li> <li>conventional negotiation</li> <li>mediation</li> <li>some other (if so what, see 3 below) form of dispute resolution procedure</li> </ul>			
3. If some other procedure please briefly describe:			
If the answer to question 2 was "mediation" but that mediation did not result in a complete settlement please continue; If not please go to Personal Details.			
	ne mediation undertaken: on the parties' own initiative as a result of some (if so what) indication of the Court as a result of some (if so what) order of the Court		
	ne mediator a:  Construction Professional		

# FORM 2

6. Please state the name of the mediator:			
7. Did the parties agree on the identity of the media	ator? YES 🗆 PTO		
8. Please state name of Nominating Body (if applic	able):		
9. What were the approximate costs (in the lead up			
The mediator (overall costs) room hire for the mediation your firm's costs your client any other costs, e.g. experts	£ £ £ £		
10. What was the outcome of the mediation?			
<ul><li>the action was settled in part</li><li>the action was not settled at all</li></ul>			
11. Was the mediation ***(please tick all those that	at apply)?		
<ul> <li>beneficial to the progress of the litigation in terms of narrowing the issues in dispute</li> <li>beneficial to the progress of the litigation in that part settlement was achieved</li> <li>beneficial in that your or your client gained a greater understanding of the issues in dispute</li> <li>a waste of money</li> <li>a waste of time</li> <li>a cause of delay to the litigation timetable.</li> </ul> 12. If you ticked the last box for question 11 then, if known, please state length of delay:			
Years: Months:	Days:		
Personal details (optional). You may attach Name Firm's name  Address Phone Email	n your business card here instead.		
Thank you for completing this survey			
Please <b>return</b> this questionnaire to (and address any questions to) <b>Aaron Hudson-Tyreman</b> , at The Centre of Construction Law and Management, King's College London, The Old Watch House, Strand, London, WC2A 2LS or fax to 0207 872 0210.			
Do you wish to receive a copy of the results (pleas	e provide contact details)  YES  NO		